

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

٢	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_	10/686,017	10/15/2003	Harold F. Ross 071402-0115		4874
	26371	71 7590 06/10/2005		EXAMINER	
	FOLEY & LARDNER 777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE			TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E	
	SUITE 3800	SCONSIN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	MILWAUKE	E, WI 53202-5308		3744	
				DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	/ >
v	_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/686,017	ROSS, HAROLD F.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William E. Tapolcai	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ma	ay 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 21-23</u> is/are pending in the a	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) 10-13 and 23 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,14-17,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 May 2005</u> is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
The second secon							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20050309.	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/iviali Date 20030309.							

Application/Control Number: 10/686,017

Art Unit: 3744

1. Applicant's arguments, see page 8, filed May 24, 2005, with respect to the rejection uner 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 4-6, 8, 9, 14-17, 21, and 22 has been withdrawn.

Page 2

- 2. Applicant's arguments, see page 10, filed May 24, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 4-6, 9, and 14 under Levine in view of Cannell have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the newly cited patent to Merrill.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine in view of Hunt. Levine discloses the claimed invention except for the speed control allowing the motor to be ramped up. Hunt teaches a blender which has a continuously variable speed control for the motor. See especially column 2, lines 35-40. Thus, the speed control in Hunt inherently ramps up the speed of the motor. It would be obvious to modify Levine so that the speed control ramps up the speed of the motor, in view of Hunt, for the purpose of providing more precise control of the motor.
- 5. Claims 4-6, 9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine in view of Merrill. Levine discloses the claimed invention except for the separate inlets for the ice cream and inclusions. Merrill teaches an ice cream machine

Art Unit: 3744

having an inlet 34 for the liquid ice cream mix and an inlet 78 for the inclusions. See, for example, column 3, lines 18-23 and 43-51, as well as column 4, lines 44-48 of Merrill. Thus, it would be obvious to provide Levine with separate inlets for the ice cream mix and inclusions, in view of Merrill, for the purpose of providing distinct inlets for the different ingredients.

- 6. Claims 8, 15-17, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine in view of Merrill as applied to claim s4 or 14 above, and further in view of Hunt as applied to claim 1 above.
- 7. Claims 7, 10-13, and 23 are allowed.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed May 24, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's remarks regarding the combination of Levine and Hunt are nor persuasive. As Hunt is directed to a machine having a rotatable stirrer, as is Levine, and is concerned with the same problem as Applicant, Hunt is considered to be analogous and relevant.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3744

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William E. Tapolcai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

wet June 8, 2005